

Smallest defining sets of super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)$ directed designs

NASRIN SOLTANKHAH* AND FARZANE AMIRZADE

Department of Mathematics
Alzahra University
Vanak Square 19834 Tehran, I.R. Iran

Abstract

A $2 - (v, k, \lambda)$ directed design (or simply a $2 - (v, k, \lambda)DD$) is super-simple if its underlying $2 - (v, k, 2\lambda)BIBD$ is super-simple, that is, any two blocks of the $BIBD$ intersect in at most two points. A $2 - (v, k, \lambda)DD$ is simple if its underlying $2 - (v, k, 2\lambda)BIBD$ is simple, that is, it has no repeated blocks.

A set of blocks which is a subset of a unique $2 - (v, k, \lambda)DD$ is said to be a defining set of the directed design. A smallest defining set, is a defining set which has smallest cardinality. In this paper simultaneously we show that the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ is $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and for these values except $v = 7$, there exists a super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ whose smallest defining sets have at least a half of the blocks. And also for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $v_0(\epsilon)$ such that for all admissible $v > v_0$ there exists a $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ whose smallest defining sets have at least $(\frac{5}{8} - \frac{\epsilon}{v}) |\mathcal{B}|$ blocks, for suitable positive constant c .

KEYWORDS: super simple directed designs, smallest defining set

1 Introduction

A $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ directed design (or simply a $t - (v, k, \lambda)DD$) is a pair $(V, \mathcal{B})$, where V is v -set, and $\mathcal{B}$ is a collection of ordered k -tuples of distinct

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: soltan@alzahra.ac.ir

elements of V (called blocks), such that each ordered t -tuple of distinct elements of V appears in precisely λ blocks. We say that a t -tuple appears in a k -tuple, if its components appear in that k -tuple as a set, and they appear with the same order. For example the following 4-tuples form a $2 - (10, 4, 1)DD, \mathcal{D}$.

0132	0467	0589	2680	2791	7843	6942	4510
1498	3861	3970	8752	9653	2354	1576	

Here, for example, the 4-tuple 0132 contains the ordered pairs 01, 03, 02, 13, 12, and 32.

A (v, k, t) directed trade of volume s consists of two disjoint collections T_1 and T_2 , each of s blocks, such that every t -tuple of distinct elements of V is covered by precisely the same number of blocks of T_1 as of T_2 . Such a directed trade is usually denoted by $T = T_1 - T_2$. Blocks in $T_1(T_2)$ are called the positive (respectively, negative) blocks of T . If $\mathcal{D} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ is a directed design, and if $T_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, we say that $\mathcal{D}$ contains the directed trade T . For example the $2 - (10, 4, 1)DD, \mathcal{D}$ above contains the following directed trade:

<u>T_1</u>	<u>T_2</u>
0132	1032
4510	4501

Given a $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ directed design $\mathcal{D}$, a subset of the blocks of $\mathcal{D}$ that occurs in no other $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ directed design is called a defining set of $\mathcal{D}$. A defining set for which no other defining set has a smaller cardinality, is called a smallest defining set. For example the set of blocks $S = \{0132, 2354, 9653, 8752, 2791, 2680, 1498, 1576\}$ is a defining set of the $2 - (10, 4, 1)DD$ above. But the set of blocks $R = \{0132, 2354, 9653, 8752, 2791, 2680, 1498, 0467\}$ can be completed to a $2 - (10, 4, 1)DD$ in two ways: by adjoining either $\{4510, 1576, 0589, 3861, 3970, 7843, 6942\}$ or $\{4150, 5176, 0589, 3861, 3970, 7843, 6942\}$.

Defining sets for directed designs (as suggested by A. P. Street in [12]) are strongly related to trades. This relation is illustrated by the following result.

PROPOSITION 1.1 [14] *Let $\mathcal{D} = (V, \mathcal{B})$ be a $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ directed design and let $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, then S is a defining set of $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if S contains a block of every (v, k, t) directed trade $T = T_1 - T_2$ such that T is contained in $\mathcal{D}$.*

Each defining set of a $t - (v, k, \lambda)DD, \mathcal{D}$ contains at least one block in every trade in $\mathcal{D}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{D}$ contains m mutually disjoint directed trades then the smallest defining set of $\mathcal{D}$ must contain at least m blocks.

The concept of directed trades and defining sets for directed designs were investigated in articles [14, 16].

A $2 - (v, k, \lambda)$ directed design (or simply a $2 - (v, k, \lambda)DD$) is super-simple if its underlying $2 - (v, k, 2\lambda)BIBD$ is super-simple, that is, any two blocks of the $BIBD$ intersect in at most two points. A $2 - (v, k, \lambda)DD$ is simple if its underlying $2 - (v, k, 2\lambda)BIBD$ is simple, that is, it has no repeated blocks.

The concept of super-simple designs was introduced by Gronau and Mullin [10]. The existence of super-simple designs is an interesting problem by itself, but there are also some useful applications.

There are known results for the existence of super simple designs, especially for existence of super simple $2 - (v, k, \lambda)BIBDs$. When $k = 4$ the necessary conditions for super simple $2 - (v, k, \lambda)BIBDs$ are known to be sufficient for $2 \leq \lambda \leq 6$ with few possible exceptions. These known results can be found in articles [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11].

In [14], Mahmoodian, Soltankhah and Street have proved that if $\mathcal{D}$ be a $2 - (v, 3, 1)DD$ then a defining set of $\mathcal{D}$ has at least $\frac{v}{2}$ blocks. In [8] Grannell, Griggs and Quinn have shown that for each admissible value of v , there exists a $2 - (v, 3, 1)DD$ and a simple $2 - (v, 3, 1)DD$ whose smallest defining sets have at least $\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{2}$ blocks. And they have also proved that, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and all sufficiently large admissible v , there exists a $2 - (v, 3, 1)DD$ whose smallest defining sets have at least $(\frac{2}{3} - \epsilon) |\mathcal{B}|$ blocks.

In this paper we show that for all admissible values, there is a super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ (except $v = 7$) whose smallest defining sets have at least a half of the blocks.

In other words we are interested in the quantity

$$f = \frac{\text{number of 4-tuples in a smallest defining set in } \mathcal{D}}{\text{number of 4-tuples in } \mathcal{D}}.$$

And we show that, there exists a super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$, $\mathcal{D}$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

The proofs in this paper use various types of combinatorial objects. The definitions of these objects are either given in the paper or can be found in the references.

Several proofs depend on the following result, which involves pairwise balanced designs (PBDs) and is a special case of a result (the Replacement Lemma [14]) that is used in several earlier papers on directed designs.

LEMMA 1.1 *If there exist a $2 - (v, K, 1)$ design and a super-simple $(k, 4, 1)DD$*

for each $k \in K$, then there exists a super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$.

PROOF. Replacing each block of the $2 - (v, K, 1)$ design with a copy of a super-simple $2 - (k, 4, 1)DD$ with point set the points of that block gives a super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$. ■

A lower bound for f for the super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ constructed in Lemma 1.1 can be calculated from lower bounds for f for the various super-simple $2 - (k, 4, 1)DD$ s. In particular, if there is a constant c such that each of the super-simple $2 - (k, 4, 1)DD$ s has $f \geq c$, then the resulting super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ also has $f \geq c$.

2 Main result

A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ is $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ [17].

In this section simultaneously we show that the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ is $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and for these values except $v = 7$, there exists a super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

In [13], Soltankhah and Mahmoodian have shown that, up to isomorphism, there exist two $2 - (7, 4, 1)DD$ s that they are super-simple and each of them has smallest defining set of cardinality 2. Consequently, if $v = 7$ then $f = \frac{2}{7}$.

In proofs we handle with a special type of directed trade, named a cyclical trade, as follows.

Let $T = T_1 - T_2$ be a $(v, 4, 2)$ directed trade of volume s , where T_1 contains blocks $b_1, \dots, b_s$ such that each pair of consecutive 4-tuples (blocks) of T_1 , $b_i b_{i+1}$ $i = 1, \dots, s \pmod{s}$ is a trade of volume 2. Therefore if a directed design $\mathcal{D}$ contains T_1 , then any defining set for $\mathcal{D}$ must contain at least $\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil$ blocks of T_1 .

The following 4-tuples form a super-simple $2 - (10, 4, 1)DD$.

0467	0589	2680	2791	7843	6942	0132
1576	1498	3861	3970	8752	9653	4510
						2354

Each of the first six columns above contains a trade, hence any defining set for this directed design must contain at least one 4-tuple of each column.

The last column above is a cyclical trade of volume 3. Hence any defining set for this directed design must contain at least two 4-tuples of the last column. We can use integer programming problem [2] to find a smallest defining set for this directed design and $S = \{0132, 2354, 9653, 8752, 2791, 2680, 1498, 1576\}$ is a defining set of size 8. Therefore this super-simple $2 - (10, 4, 1)DD$ has $f = \frac{8}{15} > \frac{1}{2}$.

The following base blocks by $(+1 \bmod 13)$ form a super-simple $2 - (13, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

$$0\ 1\ 3\ 9, 1\ 0\ 11\ 5$$

The following 4-tuples form a super-simple $2 - (16, 4, 1)DD$.

1 3 2 4 16 14 3 1	1 5 10 14 13 9 5 1	1 6 12 13 15 10 6 1	3 8 10 15 14 10 8 9	1 8 11 16 16 11 10 2
5 6 7 8 16 13 7 6	2 6 11 15 14 11 6 5	2 5 9 16 15 5 2 3	4 7 11 14 10 7 4 5	2 7 10 13 8 7 2 1
9 10 12 11 13 12 10 3	3 7 12 16 16 12 8 5	2 8 12 14 15 14 12 7	1 7 9 15 11 9 7 3	3 6 9 14 12 9 6 2
13 14 15 16 14 13 4 2	4 9 8 13 16 15 9 4	3 5 11 13 15 13 11 8	4 6 10 16 8 6 4 3	5 4 12 15 11 12 4 1

This super-simple $2 - (16, 4, 1)DD$ contains 20 disjoint directed trades of volume 2. Hence any defining set of this super-simple directed design must contain at least one 4-tuple of each trade. So for this super-simple $2 - (16, 4, 1)DD$ we have $f \geq \frac{20}{40} = \frac{1}{2}$.

In our future results we need some super-simple directed group divisible designs with block size four (super-simple $4 - DGDDs$). We may use a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type (3^4) , a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type (3^5) , a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type (3^6) and a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type (2^4) . Now we can construct a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type (3^4) with groups $\{0, 4, 8\}$, $\{1, 5, 9\}$, $\{2, 6, 10\}$, $\{3, 7, 11\}$, and with the following blocks.

4 3 10 9 9 10 0 7 1 0 10 3	9 2 3 8 11 0 2 9 3 2 0 5	2 1 4 11 3 4 1 6 8 1 2 7 10 11 1 8	11 10 5 4 4 5 7 2 5 0 6 11 8 9 11 6	7 6 0 1 6 7 9 4 7 8 5 10 6 5 8 3
----------------------------------	--------------------------------	---	--	---

Each of the first two columns above is a cyclical trade of volume 3, hence any defining set of this super-simple directed group divisible design must contain at least two 4-tuples from each of the first two columns and 4-tuples in the last three columns above form six disjoint directed trades of volume 2 and one 4-tuple from each of them must be in any defining set. Therefore any defining set of this super-simple 4 – *DGDD* must contain at least 10 blocks, so it has $f \geq \frac{10}{18} > \frac{1}{2}$.

A super-simple 4 – *DGDD* of type (3^5) can be constructed with groups

$$\{0, 5, 10\}, \{1, 6, 11\}, \{2, 7, 12\}, \{3, 8, 13\}, \{4, 9, 14\}$$

and with the following base blocks by $(+1 \bmod 15)$.

$$1 \ 0 \ 3 \ 7, \ 0 \ 1 \ 13 \ 9$$

This super-simple directed group divisible design has 15 disjoint directed trades of volume 2 and one 4-tuple from each of them must be in any defining set. Therefore any defining set of this super-simple 4 – *DGDD* must contain at least 15 blocks, so it has $f \geq \frac{15}{30} = \frac{1}{2}$.

A super-simple 4 – *DGDD* of type (3^6) can be constructed with groups

$$\{0, 6, 12\}, \{1, 7, 13\}, \{2, 8, 14\}, \{3, 9, 15\}, \{4, 10, 16\}, \{5, 11, 17\}$$

and with the following base blocks by $(+2 \bmod 18)$.

$$\begin{array}{l} 5 \ 10 \ 2 \ 0, \ 14 \ 1 \ 10 \ 5 \\ 15 \ 0 \ 4 \ 11, \ 11 \ 4 \ 3 \ 1 \\ 0 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \end{array}$$

This super-simple directed group divisible design has 18 disjoint directed trades of volume 2 in the first two rows above and the last row is a cyclical trade of volume 9. So any defining set for this super-simple 4 – *DGDD* must contain at least five 4-tuples of the last row. Hence any defining set must contain at least $18+5 = 23$ blocks, so for this super-simple 4 – *DGDD*, we have $f \geq \frac{23}{45} > \frac{1}{2}$.

A super-simple 4 – *DGDD* of type (2^4) with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$ can be constructed with groups $\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{7, 8\}$ and with the following blocks

$$\begin{array}{l} 4 \ 1 \ 6 \ 7, \ 8 \ 6 \ 1 \ 3 \\ 6 \ 8 \ 2 \ 4, \ 7 \ 5 \ 4 \ 2 \\ 5 \ 7 \ 3 \ 1, \ 2 \ 3 \ 7 \ 6 \\ 1 \ 4 \ 5 \ 8, \ 3 \ 2 \ 8 \ 5. \end{array}$$

Our principal tool is to apply Wilson's Fundamental construction (weighting), that is described in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2 *If there is a $\{K\}$ -GDD of type $g_1^{u_1}g_2^{u_2}\dots g_N^{u_N}$, there are super-simple $2-(\alpha g_i + 1, 4, 1)$ DDs for each i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and there are super-simple 4 -DGDDs of type α^k for each $k \in K$ then, there exists a super-simple $2-(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^N g_i u_i + 1, 4, 1)$ DD.*

PROOF. Let $(G, \mathcal{B})$ be a group divisible design with element set U , blocks of size $k \in K$ and groups of size $g_1, g_2, \dots$, and g_N . We form a super-simple $2-(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^N g_i u_i + 1, 4, 1)$ DD on the element set $U \times Z_\alpha \cup \{\infty\}$. Give weight α to all of points. That is replace each point $x \in U$ with α new points $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\alpha\}$. Now replace each block $b \in \mathcal{B}$ of size $k \in K$ with a super-simple 4 -DGDD of type α^k such that its groups are $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\alpha : x \in U\}$ to get a super-simple 4 -DGDD of type $\alpha g_1^{u_1} \alpha g_2^{u_2} \dots \alpha g_N^{u_N}$. Finally fill in the holes with a new point ∞ , using super-simple $2-(\alpha g_i + 1, 4, 1)$ DDs for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. ■

In particular, if there is a constant c such that each of the super-simple 4 -DGDD of type α^k and the super-simple $2-(\alpha g_i + 1, 4, 1)$ DDs has $f \geq c$, then the resulting super-simple $2-(v, 4, 1)$ DD in Lemma 2.2 also has $f \geq c$.

THEOREM 2.1 *For all $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ except $v = 7$ there exists a super-simple $2-(v, 4, 1)$ DD with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.*

PROOF. For all n except $n \in A = \{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 23\}$ there exists a $PBD(n, \{4, 5, 6\})$ [15]. We can delete one point from this PBD to form a $\{4, 5, 6\}$ -GDD of order $n - 1$ of type $3^a 4^b 5^c$, where a, b, c are non-negative integers. We then apply lemma 2.2 to this GDD using a weight of 3 to get a super-simple 4 -DGDD of type $9^a 12^b 15^c$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Finally fill in the holes with a new point ∞ , using super-simple $2-(m, 4, 1)$ DDs for $m = 10, 13, 16$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Now for the remaining values $v \in \{19, 22, 25, 28, 31, 34, 40, 43, 52, 55, 67\}$ we construct a super-simple $2-(v, 4, 1)$ DD with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$ as follows.

- $v = 19$: the following 4-tuples form a super-simple $2-(19, 4, 1)$ DD.

3 6 4 15 6 3 16 1	2 7 4 17 5 4 7 16	10 3 11 13 9 3 10 14	11 16 5 12 13 15 12 5	14 12 17 8 6 17 12 13 5 8 17 6
6 11 0 7 11 6 8 2	17 9 4 5 16 9 17 0	3 9 12 7 1 8 7 12	10 12 15 6 12 10 9 2	2 1 14 6 13 4 1 2
4 0 18 12 18 0 2 11	13 16 7 11 16 13 6 14	8 1 9 11 9 1 15 16	2 9 13 8 15 13 9 18	18 14 1 4
18 7 9 6 0 4 6 9	7 14 18 13 17 14 7 15	8 18 16 15 2 12 16 18	8 4 13 10 16 4 8 3	0 5 1 13 2 5 0 15 15 0 8 14 7 10 8 0 10 7 1 5
12 1 3 0 13 0 3 17	5 14 11 9 12 4 11 14	15 11 17 1 11 15 10 4	14 0 10 16	
1 18 10 17 6 5 10 18	14 5 3 2 15 7 2 3	17 11 3 18 18 3 8 5	17 16 2 10	

This super-simple $2 - (19, 4, 1)DD$ has 22 disjoint directed trades of volume 2 in the first four columns above and the last column has three cyclical trades of volume 3, 3 and 5, respectively. So any defining set for this DD must contain at least two 4-tuples from each of the cyclical trades of volume 3 and three 4-tuples of the cyclical trade of volume 5. Hence any defining set must contain at least $22 + 2 \times 2 + 3 = 29$ blocks, so for this super-simple $2 - (19, 4, 1)DD$, we have $f \geq \frac{29}{57} > \frac{1}{2}$.

- $v = 22$: the following base blocks by $(-, +1 \bmod 11)$ form a super-simple $2 - (22, 4, 1)DD$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (0, 0)(0, 3)(0, 9)(0, 10) , (1, 0)(1, 4)(0, 9)(0, 3) \\
& (0, 0)(1, 0)(1, 7)(1, 2) , (1, 0)(0, 0)(1, 9)(1, 10) \\
& (0, 0)(0, 2)(1, 5)(1, 8) , (1, 0)(1, 5)(0, 2)(0, 6) \\
& (1, 7)(0, 3)(0, 0)(1, 4)
\end{aligned}$$

This super-simple $2 - (22, 4, 1)DD$ has 33 disjoint directed trades of volume 2 in the first three rows above and the last row is a cyclical trade of volume 11. So any defining set for this DD must contain at least six 4-tuples of the last row. Hence any defining set must contain at least $33 + 6 = 39$ blocks, so for this super-simple $2 - (22, 4, 1)DD$, we have $f \geq \frac{39}{77} > \frac{1}{2}$.

- $v = 25$: given base blocks in [10] form a super-simple $2 - (25, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.
- $v = 28$: the following 4-tuples form a super-simple $2 - (28, 4, 1)DD$.

1 2 14 16 21 9 2 1	1 8 22 23 25 4 8 1	2 3 19 22 25 14 3 2	6 8 9 14 27 8 6 3	4 7 14 15 23 21 15 14
12 14 20 24 16 14 12 10	23 22 9 3 10 9 22 24	13 14 19 26 11 14 13 9	3 6 13 17 27 25 17 13	2 6 21 23 11 10 6 2
6 7 10 12 24 15 10 7	8 4 21 24 25 24 21 5	7 13 8 11 22 19 11 8	7 16 25 27 17 16 7 4	10 11 23 25 23 11 7 1
2 8 10 15 17 9 10 8	1 3 24 25 18 10 3 1	2 7 18 24 12 8 7 2	16 17 20 22 20 17 14 1	1 7 9 17 26 9 7 5
6 15 24 27 27 24 16 9	0 3 10 14 24 11 3 0	9 11 21 26 27 21 11 4	14 17 21 25 26 21 17 6	5 7 19 20 20 19 9 4
4 3 9 16 26 15 3 4	1 6 20 26 22 16 6 1	5 6 22 25 19 14 6 5	3 12 21 27 19 17 12 3	13 16 23 24 24 23 17 2
0 2 12 17 20 16 2 0	1 4 11 12 26 20 12 11	2 4 25 26 26 25 22 10	11 17 19 24 17 11 18 5	3 5 11 15 16 13 5 3
4 6 18 19 24 12 6 4	0 5 24 26 26 24 14 8	3 8 18 20 24 22 20 18	18 15 17 23 22 17 15 0	0 4 20 23 25 23 20 6
0 8 19 25 25 19 18 7	5 8 12 16 23 8 5 0	2 11 20 27 27 20 10 5	4 13 22 27 27 22 14 7	18 14 4 0 14 18 11 22
8 17 26 27 27 26 1 0	3 7 23 26 26 23 19 16	0 1 13 15 24 19 13 1	10 13 20 21 21 20 7 3	9 15 20 25 20 15 8 13
15 18 9 6 0 9 18 27	2 5 9 13 22 4 5 2	6 0 11 16 25 15 16 11	12 15 22 26 15 12 5 1	13 7 0 6 0 7 21 22
16 15 19 21 21 19 10 0	12 13 18 25 22 21 13 12	1 10 19 27 27 19 15 2	5 14 23 27 27 23 18 12	5 4 10 17 23 13 10 4
1 5 18 21 21 18 16 8	10 16 18 26 26 18 13 2	25 12 9 0 9 12 19 23		

This super-simple $2 - (28, 4, 1)DD$ contains 63 disjoint directed trades. Hence, any defining set of this super simple directed design must contain at least one 4-tuple of each trade. So for this super-simple $2 - (28, 4, 1)DD$ we have $f \geq \frac{63}{126} = \frac{1}{2}$.

- $v = 31$: the following base blocks by $(+1 \bmod 31)$ form a super-simple $2 - (31, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\begin{array}{l} 2 \ 0 \ 4 \ 1 \quad , \quad 1 \ 4 \ 19 \ 9 \\ 4 \ 0 \ 20 \ 13 \quad , \quad 13 \ 20 \ 8 \ 30 \quad , \quad 14 \ 28 \ 8 \ 20 \end{array}$$

This super-simple $2 - (31, 4, 1)DD$ has 31 disjoint directed trades of volume 2 in the first row above and the second row is a cyclical trade of volume 93. So any defining set for this DD must contain at least 47, 4-tuples of the cyclical trade. Hence any defining set must contain at least $31 + 47 = 78$ blocks, so for this super-simple $2 - (31, 4, 1)DD$ we have $f \geq \frac{78}{155} > \frac{1}{2}$.

- $v = 34$: the following base blocks by $(+1 \bmod 34)$ form a super-simple $2 - (34, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \ 22 \ 1 \ 24 \quad , \quad 31 \ 29 \ 24 \ 1 \\ 25 \ 1 \ 19 \ 0 \quad , \quad 19 \ 1 \ 15 \ 22 \quad , \quad 23 \ 15 \ 1 \ 20 \\ 0 \ 17 \ 8 \ 25 \end{array}$$

This super-simple $2 - (34, 4, 1)DD$ has 34 disjoint directed trades of volume 2 in the first row above and the second row is a cyclical trade of volume 102. So any defining set for this DD must contain at least 51, 4-tuples of the second row. The last row form a cyclical trade of volume 34. Hence any defining set must contain at least $34 + 51 + 17 = 102$ blocks, so for this super-simple $2 - (34, 4, 1)DD$ we have $f \geq \frac{102}{204} \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

- $v = 40$: begin with a $4 - GDD(5^4)$ [15]. We apply lemma 2.2 using a weight 2, using a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type (2^4) with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$, to get a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type 10^4 with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Now fill in the holes of size 10 with a super-simple $2 - (10, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

- $v = 43$: begin with a $4 - GDD(2^7)$ [15]. We apply lemma 2.2 using a weight 3, using a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type (3^4) with $f \geq \frac{5}{9}$, to get a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type 6^7 with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Finally fill in the hole with a new point ∞ , using a super-simple $2 - (7, 4, 1)DD$. This yield a super-simple $2 - (43, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{154}{301} > \frac{1}{2}$.

- $v = 52$: the following base blocks form a super-simple $(52, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 \ 5 \ 1 \ 3 \quad , \quad 48 \ 5 \ 0 \ 45 & (+1 \bmod 52) \\ 30 \ 6 \ 0 \ 13 \quad , \quad 13 \ 0 \ 23 \ 42 & (+1 \bmod 52) \\ 0 \ 6 \ 21 \ 37 \quad , \quad 4 \ 37 \ 21 \ 45 & (+1 \bmod 52) \\ 8 \ 38 \ 20 \ 0 \quad , \quad 34 \ 9 \ 0 \ 20 & (+1 \bmod 52) \\ 27 \ 1 \ 0 \ 26 \quad , \quad 26 \ 0 \ 25 \ 51 & (+2 \bmod 52) \end{array}$$

- $v = 55$: a $RBIBD(16, 4, 1)$ has 5 parallel classes. For two parallel classes add a new point to each of the blocks in that parallel class. This yields a $\{4, 5\} - GDD$ of type $4^4 2^1$. We apply lemma 2.2 using a weight 3, using a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type (3^4) with $f \geq \frac{5}{9}$ and a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type (3^5) with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$, to get a super-simple $4 - DGDD$ of type $12^4 6^1$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Finally fill in the holes with a new point ∞ , using a super-simple $(13, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and a super-simple $2 - (7, 4, 1)DD$.
- $v = 67$: begin with a $4 - GDD(6^4 9^1)$ [15]. Applying lemma 2.2 using a weight 2, we obtain a super-simple $2 - (67, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$. ■

3 Asymptotic results

In previous section, we showed that for all admissible values of v , except $v = 7$, there exists a super-simple $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$. In this section we show that these results can be improved for $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ s. And we prove that for all sufficiently large admissible v , there exists a $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{c}{v}$ for suitable positive constant c . For this result we need a directed group divisible design with block size four ($4 - DGDD$) of type (2^4) with $f \geq \frac{5}{8}$.

A $4 - DGDD$ of type (2^4) can be constructed with groups $\{1, 2\}$, $\{3, 4\}$, $\{5, 6\}$, $\{7, 0\}$, and with the following blocks.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{blocks :} & 5\ 1\ 0\ 3 & 3\ 7\ 1\ 5 & 4\ 0\ 2\ 5 \\ & 6\ 0\ 1\ 4 & 4\ 1\ 7\ 6 & 5\ 2\ 7\ 4 \\ & 2\ 3\ 0\ 6 & 6\ 7\ 3\ 2 & \end{array}$$

Each of first two columns above is a cyclical trade of volume 3, hence any defining set of this directed group divisible design must contain at least two 4-tuples from each of the first two columns. The 4-tuples in the last column above form a trade and one of them must be in any defining set. Therefore any defining set of this $4 - DGDD$ must contain at least 5 blocks, so it has $f \geq \frac{5}{8}$.

LEMMA 3.3 *For all $v \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, $v \geq 61$, there exists a $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{13}{8v}$.*

PROOF. For all $k > 4$ there exists a $4 - GDD(6^k)$ [15]. Let $(G, \mathcal{B})$ be a group divisible design with element set U , blocks of size 4 and groups of

size 6. We replace each group $g \in G$ with a $2 - (13, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on $g \times Z_2 \cup \{\infty\}$ and each block $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $4 - DGDD$ of type (2^4) with $f \geq \frac{5}{8}$ on $b \times Z_2$, such that its groups are $\{x\} \times Z_2$, $\{y\} \times Z_2$, $\{z\} \times Z_2$ and $\{w\} \times Z_2$. Since a $4 - GDD(6^k)$ has $3k(k-1)$ blocks, so a $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ has

$$f \geq (\frac{5}{8} \cdot 24k(k-1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 26k) / (\frac{(12k+1)(12k)}{6}).$$

Simplifying gives $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{13}{8v}$. ■

LEMMA 3.4 *For all $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$, $v \geq 64$, there exists a $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{2v}$.*

PROOF. For all $k > 4$ there exists a $4 - GDD(2^{3k+1})$ [15]. Let $(G, \mathcal{B})$ be a group divisible design with element set U , blocks of size 4 and groups of size 2. We replace each group $g \in G$ with a $2 - (4, 4, 1)DD$ on $g \times Z_2$ and each block $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $4 - DGDD$ of type (2^4) with $f \geq \frac{5}{8}$ on $b \times Z_2$, such that its groups are $\{x\} \times Z_2$, $\{y\} \times Z_2$, $\{z\} \times Z_2$ and $\{w\} \times Z_2$. Since a $4 - GDD(2^{3k+1})$ has $k(3k+1)$ blocks, so a $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ has

$$f \geq (\frac{5}{8} \cdot 8k(3k+1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 4(3k+1)) / (\frac{(12k+4)(12k+3)}{6}).$$

Simplifying gives $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{8(4k+1)} > \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{2v}$. ■

LEMMA 3.5 *For all $v \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$, $v \geq 67$, there exists a $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{13}{8v} - \frac{21}{2v(v+11)}$.*

PROOF. For all $k > 4$ there exists a $4 - GDD(6^k 9^1)$ [7]. Let $(G, \mathcal{B})$ be a group divisible design with element set U , blocks of size 4 and groups of size 6 and a single group of size 9. We replace each group $g \in G$ of size 6 and 9 with a $2 - (13, 4, 1)DD$ and a $2 - (19, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on $g \times Z_2 \cup \{\infty\}$, respectively, and each block $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $4 - DGDD$ of type (2^4) with $f \geq \frac{5}{8}$ on $b \times Z_2$, such that its groups are $\{x\} \times Z_2$, $\{y\} \times Z_2$, $\{z\} \times Z_2$ and $\{w\} \times Z_2$. Since a $4 - GDD(6^k 9^1)$ has $3k(k+2)$ blocks, so a $2 - (v, 4, 1)DD$ has

$$f \geq (\frac{5}{8} \cdot 24k(k+2) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 26k + 29) / (\frac{(12k+19)(12k+18)}{6}).$$

Simplifying gives $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{13}{8v} - \frac{21}{2v(v-1)}$. ■

LEMMA 3.6 For all $v \equiv 10 \pmod{12}$, $v \geq 70$ there exists a $2-(v, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{4v} - \frac{1}{2v}$.

PROOF. For all $k > 4$ there exists a $4-GDD(2^{3k}5^1)$ [7]. Let $(G, \mathcal{B})$ be a group divisible design with element set U , blocks of size 4 and groups of size 2 and a single group of size 5. We replace each group $g \in G$ of size 2 and 5 with a $2-(4, 4, 1)DD$ and a $2-(10, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on $g \times Z_2$, respectively, and each block $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $4-DGDD$ of type (2^4) with $f \geq \frac{5}{8}$ on $b \times Z_2$, such that its groups are $\{x\} \times Z_2$, $\{y\} \times Z_2$, $\{z\} \times Z_2$ and $\{w\} \times Z_2$. Since a $4-GDD(2^{3k}5^1)$ has $k(3k+4)$ blocks, so a $2-(v, 4, 1)DD$ has

$$f \geq (\frac{5}{8}.8k(3k+4) + \frac{1}{2}.4(3k)+8)/(\frac{(12k+10)(12k+9)}{6}).$$

Simplifying gives $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{4v} - \frac{k+4}{2v(4k+3)}$ or $f > \frac{5}{8} - \frac{1}{2v}$. ■

THEOREM 3.2 For all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $v_0(\epsilon)$ such that for all admissible $v > v_0$ there exists a $2-(v, 4, 1)DD$ with $f \geq \frac{5}{8} - \frac{c}{v}$ where $c = \frac{13}{8} + \epsilon$.

References

- [1] P. Adams, D. Bryant and A. Khodkar, *On the existence of super-simple designs with block size 4*, Aequationes Math., **51** (1996), 230–246.
- [2] P. Adams, A. Khodkar, C. Ramsay, *Smallest defining sets of some STS(19)*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., **37** (2001), 225–237.
- [3] H. Cao, K. Chen and R. Wei, *Super-simple balanced incomplete block designs with block size 4 and index 5*, Discrete Math., **309** (2009), 2808–2814.
- [4] K. Chen, *On the existence of super-simple $(v, 4, 3)$ -BIBDs*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., **17** (1995), 149–159.
- [5] K. Chen, *On the existence of super-simple $(v, 4, 4)$ -BIBDs*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference, **51** (1996), 339–350.
- [6] K. Chen, Z. Cao, and R. Wei, *Super-simple balanced incomplete block designs with block size 4 and index 6*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference, **133** (2005), 537–554.
- [7] G. Ge, A. C. H. Ling, *Group divisible designs with block size four and type $g^u m^1$ for small g* , Discrete Math., **285** (2004), 97–120.

- [8] M. J. Grannell, T. S. Griggs and K. A. S. Quinn, *Smallest defining sets of directed triple systems*, Discrete Math., **309** (2009), 4810–4818.
- [9] H. D. O. F. Gronau, *Super-simple designs*, in *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, second edition (ed. C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz), CRC Press, 2007, 633–635.
- [10] H. D. O. F. Gronau and R. C. Mullin, *On super-simple $2 - (v, 4, \lambda)$ designs*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., **11** (1992), 113–121.
- [11] A. Khodkar, *Various super-simple designs with block size four*, Australas. J. Combin., **9** (1994), 201–210.
- [12] E. S. Mahmoodian and M. A. Shokrollahi, *Open problems at the combinatorics workshop of AIMC25 (Tehran, 1994)*, in combinatorics Advances, C. J. Colbourn and E. S. Mahmoodian, eds., Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, Boston, London, (1995), 321–324.
- [13] E. S. Mahmoodian and N. Soltankhah, *Intersections of $2 - (v, 4, 1)$ Directed Designs*, J. Combin. Math. and Combin. Comput. (JCMCC), **20** (1996), 225–236.
- [14] E. S. Mahmoodian, N. Soltankhah and A. P. Street, *On Defining Sets of Directed Designs*, Australas. J. Combin., **19** (1999), 179–190.
- [15] R. C. Mullin and H. D. O. F. Gronau, *PBDs and GDDs: the basics*, in *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, second edition (ed. C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz), CRC Press, 2007.
- [16] N. Soltankhah, *On directed trades*, Australas. J. Combin., **11** (1995), 59–66.
- [17] D. J. Street and J. R. Seberry, *All DBIBDs with block size four exist*, Utilitas Math., **18** (1980), 27–34.